Appendix 1: Community Re-investment Projects Fund 2016/17 Officer summary of grant applications

Applicant	Stoke Flo	Stoke Fleming Parish Council		
Project	Replacem	Replacement of cracked wet pour surfacing within play park.		
Amount Requested	£7,250	Total Project Cost	£7,250	
Project summary	To remov	e the damaged surface within the	Play Park and	
	renew.			
Assessment	Score	Officers' Comments		
Criteria	(1-3)			
Community Need	2	Evidence of need in terms of it t	•	
		reference to levels of use, or wh	y the project is	
		important		
Community	1	No evidence supplied, although reasonable to		
Support		assume general support of the play area		
Provides/Improves	2	Provides infrastructure, although is a renewal rather		
Community		than improvement project		
Infrastructure				
Deliverable	3	Straight forward project		
Value for Money	1	100% No match funding sought		
Lasting Impact	2	Wet pour surfacing has a reasonable longevity as a		
		play surface		
Total Score	11	Recommended Grant	£7,250	
		Amount		

Applicant	Stokenham Parish Council			
Project	Drainage	Drainage footpath		
Amount Requested	£8,500	Total Project Cost £20,000		
Project summary	Byway 37	Summerye Lane to improve the	drainage and	
	surface al	ong the path and extend it towar	ds Cotmore and	
	Beesands	. This byway forming part of a we	ell-used local off-	
		e which serves an estate in Chillir	•	
	presently	it is regularly unusable due to flo	oding.	
Assessment	Score	Officers' Comments		
Criteria	(1-3)			
Community Need	2	Flooding of the path occurs regu	•	
		pedestrians to have to divert along the main road		
Community	1	None evidenced, however this forms part of a series		
Support		of local path improvements which have been well		
		received locally		
Provides/Improves	2	The project will provide infrastructure although		
Community		unclear as to the number of likely beneficiaries		
Infrastructure				
Deliverable	2	£10,000 from DCC is not yet see	cured	
Value for Money	2	42.5% intervention rate		
Lasting Impact	2	It is anticipated that the works will improve the		
		quality of the byway and its longevity		
Total Score	10	Recommended Grant	£8,500	
		Amount		

Applicant	Totnes C	aring			
Project	Totnes Ca	ring Office improvement and Exp	ansion		
Amount Requested	£9,430	Total Project Cost	£9,430		
Project summary	To extend	l and provide more comfortable w	orking facilities for		
	staff and	olunteers by installing air-conditioning and			
		the office and meeting room space. The			
	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	on provides support for vulnerable people to enable		
		ve independently and free from is	solation.		
Assessment	Score	Officers' Comments			
Criteria	(1-3)				
Community Need	1	Whilst the organisation clearly p			
		services for the community, the			
		beneficiaries of the project will be	-		
		as opposed to the wider commu	,		
Community	1	No consultation has taken place	about the project		
Support		itself			
Provides/Improves	2	Not directly – the primary beneficiaries will be			
Community		staff/volunteers, with any benefit to the wider			
Infrastructure	2	community being indirectly	1 * 1 11		
Deliverable	2	Permission from the hospital in which the organisation is based is pending			
Value for Monor	1	100% funded for works			
Value for Money	1				
Lasting Impact	2	The current location of the offices within the			
		hospital appear to be the prefer			
		proximity to NHS and social service to be properties.			
		suitable working environment	provided in a more		
Total Score	9	Recommended Grant	£0		
Total Score	J	Amount	20		
Reason for	Whilet the		ocal support		
recommendation	Whilst the organisation provides valuable local support services, it is not considered that the project fits well with the				
. Commondation	aims of the CRPF (i.e. supporting community led, capital				
	projects providing or improving community infrastructure).				
	projects providing or improving community initastructure).				

Applicant	Totnes T	rust		
Project	St Mary's	St Mary's Heritage Area Phase 1		
Amount Requested	£5,481	Total Project Cost	£10,481	
Project summary	To create	a full record of the unique heritage	ge asset, to	
	transform	the churchyard green area into a	a publicly accessible	
	town park	and create an inviting through-r	oute to the	
	guildhall.			
Assessment	Score	Officers' Comments		
Criteria	(1-3)			
Community Need	2	Seeks to provide a central green space for Totnes residents and visitors		
Community Support	1	The principle of protecting and enhancing this space has been identified in the evolving Neighbourhood Plan		
Provides/Improves Community Infrastructure	2	Ultimately, however these funds purely to establish initial parame preparation (archaeological and assessments, consultation, designater capital phases	eters and topographical	

Deliverable	2	Deliverability depends somewhat on the scope of the project as will be defined by the consultation and design stages		
Value for Money	2	52%. Remainder from the Trusts own funds and the SCLF		
Lasting Impact	1	At this stage, but if full project is realised there would be a clear lasting impact		
Total Score	10	Recommended Grant Amount	£0	
Reason for recommendation	The project seeks funds towards preparatory stages, namely assessments and design – it does not deliver new/improved infrastructure – this would be delivered by later phases. It is not considered appropriate to fund such a stage of this project.			

Applicant		ith Bridgetown Parochial Chu	
Project	Restoration, refurbishment and reordering of the Parish Church		
	of St Mary	y's – Development Planning	
Amount Requested	£9,999	Total Project Cost	£25,975
Project summary		all project seek to restore, refurbi	
		urch of St Mary's, however this p	
		lely to feasibility (including lookir	ng at uses and
		ers – options appraisals).	
Assessment	Score	Officers' Comments	
Criteria	(1-3)		
Community Need	1	Whilst the need for restoration/	
		might be established, the exten	
		need' will not be established unt	til this reasibility
:h	2	stage is complete	
Community	2	This will be established by the fe	
Support		Initial conversations indicate the	•
Duanida a /Transcasa	1	increase if the church is improved	
Provides/Improves	1	This is a feasibility project. Later stages will improve infrastructure.	
Community Infrastructure		iiiiasti ucture.	
Deliverable	2	Other match funding is in place for this feasibility	
		stage element, however significant funds would	
		need to be secured to deliver su	ıbsequent capital
		phases of the project	
Value for Money	2	38.5% - Remaining funds are secured	
Lasting Impact	1	The lasting impact would be dep	
		ability to secure significant fund	ing for the capital
	_	phases of the project	60
Total Score	9	Recommended Grant	£0
<u> </u>	T · · · ·	Amount	1: /:
Reason for		easibility project, and does not d	
recommendation		ture – this would be delivered by	
	not considered appropriate to fund such a stage of this project.		

Applicant	Yealmpto	on Parish Council		
Project	Yealmpto	Yealmpton River Yealm Footpath Project		
Amount Requested	£5,000	Total Project Cost £5,000		
Project summary	To create	a stable footpath which will not b	e washed away by	
	flooding o	of the River Yealm. This will be acl	hieved by laying	
	concrete a	along short sections of the footpa	th where it becomes	
	eroded. W	orks will make the path accessib	le to those in	
	wheelchai	rs, with mobility issues, and thos	e with pushchairs.	
Assessment	Score	Officers' Comments		
Criteria	(1-3)			
Community Need	3	This is a well-used path howeve		
		accessible to all due to quality a	nd effects of	
		flooding from the River Yealm		
Community	2	The application refers to consultation with users		
Support		(and potential users of the path) whom have		
		expressed support for the proposal		
Provides/Improves	3	This is a capital infrastructure improvement project		
Community				
Infrastructure				
Deliverable	3	Straight forward and permissions obtained		
Value for Money	1	100%		
Lasting Impact	3	This will create a durable path, and the Parish		
		Council will contribute towards annual maintenance		
Total Score	15	Recommended Grant	£5,000	
		Amount		

Applicant	Champerno	wne Play Park Steering Grou	ıp	
Project	Champernov	Champernowne Play Park		
Amount Requested	£42,277.97	Total Project Cost	£42,277.97	
Project summary	The aim of the	his project is to modernise Char	npernowne Play	
	Park in Modb	oury, adding significant play val	ue for children up	
	to the age of	f 12. Providing space for familie	s and community	
	members to flowers and	enjoy and a community growing shrubs.	g space made up of	
Assessment	Score	Officers' Comments		
Criteria	(1-3)			
Community Need	3	A need for better use of this sp		
		is a recognised deficiency in pl	ay facilities within	
_	_	Modbury		
Community	2	Support is clear, and the community have been		
Support		heavily involved in plans for this area		
Provides/Improves	3	The existing park is of poor qu	ality. The proposal	
Community		will re-invigorate the area		
Infrastructure				
Deliverable	2	Planning permission is required	d	
Value for Money	1	100% requested, or 71% at recommended		
	_	intervention rate		
Lasting Impact	3	This project has the potential to re-invigorate the		
		area and give a sense of pride to the local		
		community	400.000	
Total Score	14	Recommended Grant	£30,000	
		Amount		

Applicant	Dartingto	on Village Hall		
Project	Disabled toilets and fit out of new extension			
Amount Requested	£20,000	Total Project Cost	£38,694	
Project summary	with new year with year was (due to is cost of the applicatio elements	ig the extension, disabled access and disabled toilet toilets/baby facilities. This project was supported last a CRPF grant of £26,000. The application from last re-profiled and only limited elements were provided sues with a contractor and significantly increased e subsequent contract). Essentially, this current is for additional funds towards the remaining of the 2015/16 project which were not delivered.		
Assessment	Score	Officers' Comments		
Criteria	(1-3)	Name avidenced become the	-11 -1	
Community Need	1	None evidenced, however the had currently have a disabled toilet		
Community Support	1	None evidenced but reference to the hall being well used and that the project will enable use by wheelchair users		
Provides/Improves Community Infrastructure	3	The project would provide capitathe hall	al improvements to	
Deliverable	2	A further £15,000 of grant appli	cations are pending	
Value for Money	1	The value for money is limited considering the award last year which was essentially towards the same project		
Lasting Impact	3	The infrastructure improvement would have a lasting impact on use of the hall by disabled users		
Total Score	11	Recommended Grant Amount	£0	
Reason for recommendation	The award of £26,000 was honoured and paid towards a reprofiled project in 2015/16 (omitting various elements which meant lower value for money from the previous grant). It is not considered appropriate to fund this project again, and it is considered that there are other suitable funding sources available to the project.			

Applicant	Holbetor	າ Village Hall		
Project	Holbeton new village hall			
Amount Requested	£50,420	Total Project Cost £1,050	0,000	
Project summary	To improv	ve and enlarge the village hall facilities fo	r Holbeton	
	parishion	ers, including social functions, indoor spo	rts facilities,	
	changing	facilities and storage space.		
Assessment	Score	Officers' Comments		
Criteria	(1-3)			
Community Need	3	A strong case is made for community need for a		
		new hall (and equally why improving/extending the		
		existing hall is not possible)		
Community	3	Overwhelming support for the project from parish		
Support		surveys.		
Provides/Improves	1	This project relates to the preparatory p	hase.	
Community		Clearly if the project is realised then con	mmunity	
Infrastructure		infrastructure would be provided		

Deliverable	1		This project does not yet have planning or match funding.		
		There are two significant parts to anticipated match funding:			
		1 – sale of land with planning permission – likely to be realised			
		2 – Reaching Communities Lottery application – this scheme is oversubscribed and competitive. An application will take 12 months absolute minimum, but likely much longer (it can take several years to be successful)			
Value for Money	1	This project is for 100% of the cost of fees relating to architects and planning, to get it to a build ready stage			
Lasting Impact	3	If taking into account any subsequent realisation of the build of the hall.			
Total Score	13	Recommended Grant Amount	£0		
Reason for recommendation	This application relates to fees for architects ad planning to get to a build ready stage. It is not considered a good fit for the CRPF, as this project would not itself deliver community infrastructure. It is considered premature to commit significant funds at this stage, however a future bit towards the capital costs could subsequently be considered.				

Applicant	Newton & Noss Parish Council			
Project	Butts Park Fitness & Play Park			
Amount Requested	£16,980	Total Project Cost	£62,000	
Project summary	To upgrad	de and renovate the children's pla	y park equipment,	
	create a r	natural play space, outdoor table t	tennis facility,	
		basketball facility, improve pedes	trian access gates	
	and updat	te picnic and bench seating.		
Assessment	Score	Officers' Comments		
Criteria	(1-3)			
Community Need	2	None provided but evidenced an	understanding of	
		the benefits of the project to the	community	
Community	3	Considerable effort to engage community – emails,		
Support		posters and parish magazine		
Provides/Improves	3	Provides community infrastructure for a broad range		
Community		of local residents		
Infrastructure				
Deliverable	3	All in place, well thought out pro	ject	
Value for Money	3	27.3%. Good value and has attracted considerable		
		match funding		
Lasting Impact	2	It is reasonable to expect the equipment to have		
		longevity		
Total Score	16	Recommended Grant	£16,980	
		Amount		

Applicant	Salcombe Town Council			
Project	Community Highway Lighting			
Amount Requested	£20,000	Total Project Cost	£20,000	
Project summary	and from	To provide street lighting to ensure a safe route for children to and from school, and pedestrians using these path leading to a newly built estate at Bonfire Hill during darkness.		
Assessment Criteria	Score (1-3)	Officers' Comments		
Community Need	2	This is an apparently well used route, more so with recent housing development in the area. The route is well used by children associated with the nearby primary school. The application references this issue having been raised during the planning application stage		
Community Support	2	Application references concerns raised by residents (especially parents of schoolchildren) about this as a safety issue		
Provides/Improves Community Infrastructure	2	Provides safety and as a warning to motorists they are approaching a pedestrian area. Lighting would be adopted and subsequently maintained by DCC		
Deliverable	3	Works would be undertaken by DCC according to their specification		
Value for Money	1	100% of costs		
Lasting Impact	3	Lighting would be adopted and subsequently maintained by DCC		
Total Score	13	Recommended Grant Amount	£20,000	

Applicant	Stokenham Parish Council		
Project	Memorial Hall New Roof		
Amount Requested	£45,000	Total Project Cost	£50,000
Project summary	To replace	e the existing roof with a new watertight, energy	
	efficient r	replacement.	
Assessment	Score	Officers' Comments	
Criteria	(1-3)		
Community Need	3	An aging hall, the need for such works is clear	
Community	2	The hall is clearly very well used	
Support		, ,	
Provides/Improves	3	The repairs to the hall will enable the ongoing usage	
Community		of the facility as a meeting place, clubs and social	
Infrastructure		gatherings	
Deliverable	2	Applicant has a current planning application pending	
Value for Money	2	90% is sought, 79% intervention rate is	
		recommended. No other substantial funding has	
		been secured/sought – if intervening at a lower rate	
		it is considered likely that there are other funding	
		sources available that could make up the shortfall	
Lasting Impact	3	The project would both improve the fabric of the	
		hall and also assist with ongoing sustainability	
Total Score	15	Recommended Grant	£39,475
		Amount	

Applicant	Totnes & District Society. (TotSoc)			
Project	Baltic Wharf Natural Play space – The Brutus Explorer 2			
Amount Requested	£10,000 Total Project Cost £44,500			
Project summary		: Wharf Natural Play Space will be		
		Learning Interventions on the Tot		
	the public	ublic, beside the River Dart and new Baltic Wharf Housing		
	Development.			
Assessment	Score	Officers' Comments		
Criteria	(1-3)			
Community Need	2	Large amount of information to support how it		
		would benefit the community, the need being		
		clearly linked to the new resider	nts at the new Baltic	
_		Wharf development		
Community	2	Extensive consultation over the		
Support		undertaken by the artist and pro	oject manager	
		overseeing the design		
Provides/Improves	3	Will provide community space a		
Community		proposed design of the play space is excellent and		
Infrastructure		would be a valuable addition to the play spaces in		
Dallaranalda	2	Totnes		
Deliverable	2	High pending amount but well thought out project		
Value for Monor	2	and likely to attract funding.		
Value for Money	2	23% - a £20,000 grant application is pending. The		
I pating Towns at	3	developer/landowner is contributing £14,000		
Lasting Impact	3	Management would pass to the Baltic Wharf		
		Management Co. Arguably such an innovative play space could have a significant lasting impact on the		
		development of young children that use the space		
Total Score	14	Recommended Grant	£0	
Total Score	17	Amount	20	
Reason for	The devel	oper/landowner is required throu	gh the Section 106	
recommendation		nt to provide play areas at the Ba		
		s appreciated that the community		
		e a more imaginative play area at		
	that end h	nave secured funding for develop	ing the design (from	
	Awards fo	or All), it is the opinion of officers	that the capital	
	costs of the	ne play area (which are relatively	low for a	
	development site of this scale) should be met by the			
	developer, and indeed this is a requirement of the Section 106.			
	The policy (SHDC Open Space, Sport and Recreation SPD)			
	requirement for play facilities at the Baltic Wharf development			
	site equate to circa £180,000 towards play – the equivalent of			
	which should be provided on site. It is unclear therefore why			
	the developer does not fund this play space as part of the			
	overall play package it is required to provide. The developer			
	has yet to provide an Open Space Specification as required by			
	the Section 106 which would provide any evidence to the			
	contrary (i.e. about their plans for play elsewhere on the site). Accordingly, it is not considered appropriate to use CRPF to			
	meet these costs			
	meet thes	or costs		

Applicant	Totnes Town Council			
Project	Totnes signing and wayfinding			
Amount Requested	£29,115	Total Project Cost	£29,115	
Project summary	To design	and install new wayfinding and signage for		
		ns in Totnes.		
Assessment	Score	Officers' Comments		
Criteria	(1-3)			
Community Need	2	This appears to be a project for which the primary beneficiary will be visitors rather than residents. Through consultation/events, the Town Council have established that signage around town is of a poor standard		
Community Support	2	Some reference is made to this having been identified as an issue within Neighbourhood Plan consultation events, and it forms a priority for the Totnes Town Team		
Provides/Improves	2	The funds would support installation of 5		
Community		'freestanding monoliths' and 6 fingerposts		
Infrastructure				
Deliverable	3	Straightforward project to deliver subject to planning		
Value for Money	1	100% of costs		
Lasting Impact	2	The benefits of the project would have longevity subject to maintenance		
Total Score	12	Recommended Grant Amount	£0	
Reason for	It is consi	considered that this is primarily a project that would		
recommendation	benefit visitors to the town, i.e. a tourist information project. Whilst there will be some benefits to local residents, these are not considered sufficient to warrant supporting the proposal with CRPF.			

Applicant	West Alvington Village Hall Committee		
Project	West Alvington Village Hall Refurbishment Plan		
Amount Requested	£26,695	Total Project Cost £26,695	
Project summary	To improv	ve the facilities - kitchen, toilets with disabled access,	
	shower ui	nit and baby changing, heating system, flooring and	
	general u	pgrade of this much used facility.	
Assessment	Score	Officers' Comments	
Criteria	(1-3)		
Community Need	3	This is a well-used facility, with various clubs and meetings relying on this hall – including the primary school that use it every day for school meals. The project will address parts of the hall which are in poor condition	
Community Support	2	Evidence of support from local SHDC Ward Member and Parish Council, noting the need to modernise this facility	
Provides/Improves Community Infrastructure	3	Significant improvements to this community facility	
Deliverable	3	This should be a relatively straight forward project to deliver	

Total Score	15	of the area Recommended Grant Amount	£26,695
Lasting Impact	3	The project would benefit much of the local community and contribute to the ongoing vibrancy	
Value for Money	1	100% of costs. There are likely to be other funding sources available for such a project	